

# Seen & Heard

Children's Rights in  
Early Childhood Education



Ellen Lynn Hall and  
Jennifer Kofkin Rudkin

FOREWORD BY BONNIE NEUGEBAUER

So it does not depend on the age of the teller, but on the sensitivity of the listener. A newborn baby is looking in your eyes, making silent questions, asking for cooperation for building a common world. That is the beginning of stories. (reported in Alderson, 2000b, pp. 26–27)

The literal meaning of “infant” is unable to speak, but children’s “voices” can be heard from birth, provided adults take the time and effort to listen (Pugh & Selleck, 1996, p. 123). Researching the ideas of young children requires indirect methods of inquiry; one cannot simply ask children to talk about rights (Langsted, 1994; see also Clark & Moss, 2001). Nevertheless, children of all ages offer valuable insights about rights, if society can become attuned to children’s ways of communicating (McLeod, 2008).

## LISTENING TO YOUNG CHILDREN

A children’s rights movement must be as much about “adult ears” as it is about “children’s voices” (R. Hart, 1998, cited in Miljeteig, 2000, p. 171). At Boulder Journey School, our research on children’s rights has led us to specify four suggestions for tuning adult ears to the voices of young children.

### Listening Creatively: Can Adults Appreciate Children’s Many Languages?

Adult society relies on the spoken and written word. Parents note and celebrate a child’s first words, but rarely mark other hallmarks in communication, such as the first time a child points to request an object or represents something by imitating it, for example, flapping her or his arms to signify a bird (Doherty-Sneddon, 2003). Listening to young children means appreciating that they communicate using a wide array of languages. If children do indeed speak using 100 languages, then in order to understand what children are saying, adults must listen with all of *their* creativity. Rinaldi (2001) proposed “listening not just with our ears but with all our senses (sight, touch, smell, taste, orientation). Listening to the hundred, the thousand languages” (p. 80).

In working to appreciate the perspectives of young children, verbal language proves to be a source of misunderstanding, as well as understanding. As an example of misunderstanding, one teacher seeking insight into the perspectives of 2- and 3-year-old children began a conversation on rights using a book about a mouse named Toby. For each page of the book, she mapped Toby’s experience onto the issue of rights. For example, on the page depicting Toby and his mother embracing, the teacher said, “Toby has the right to hug his mother.” At the end of the book, she invited the children to consider their rights. One girl responded by drawing lines on the book with her finger and calling out the names of letters. It took the teacher a few minutes to realize the child had confused the word “rights” with the more familiar word “writes.”

## Tuning Adult Ears to

As a se  
the use of tl  
asked inste  
angry?” Th  
anger and s  
okay to be l  
to be?” has  
a right to b  
stem from t  
parents or t  
The childrer  
and sad as w  
Childrer  
language ca  
use of langu  
the following

First Chi  
when  
Don  
Second Cl  
woul  
me u  
Third Chi

These childre  
lack of compe  
child seemed  
autonomy in j  
that “listening  
utterances at f  
1996, p. 121).  
Boulder Ju  
children’s com  
day work, wit  
and blocks. Ex  
ing here.  
A classroo  
family member  
They then too  
mailbox, they  
dian did not w  
investigation exp  
they agreed on

ity of the listener.  
stions, asking for  
inning of stories.

en's "voices" can be  
ten (Pugh & Selleck,  
indirect methods of  
[Langsted, 1994; see  
fer valuable insights  
s of communicating

ears" as it is about  
1). At Boulder Jour-  
ify four suggestions

## Many

rents note and cel-  
in communication,  
presents something  
fy a bird (Doherty-  
ing that they comed  
speak using 100  
ng, adults must lis-  
ig not just with our  
m). Listening to the

m, verbal language  
tanding. As an ex-  
the perspectives of  
ng a book about a  
Toby's experience  
by and his mother  
her." At the end of  
girl responded by  
names of letters. It  
l the word "rights"

As a second example of misunderstanding, several teachers decided to avoid the use of the word "rights" in their research with 3- and 4-year-old children. They asked instead, "Is it okay to be happy? . . . Is it okay to be sad? . . . Is it okay to be angry?" The teachers had seen evidence of the children asserting their right to anger and sadness and so were surprised by the children's insistence that it was okay to be happy but *not* okay to be sad or to be angry. The question, "Is it okay to be?" has very different connotations, however, than the question, "Do you have a right to be?" Children's assertions that sadness and anger were not okay may stem from their awareness that these emotions were not always okay with their parents or teachers or peers, or that being angry or sad did not feel okay to them. The children nevertheless might have believed that they had a *right* to be angry and sad as well as happy.

Children's unfamiliarity with abstract terms and their more concrete use of language can impede child-adult understanding. On the other hand, children's use of language can elucidate the issues at hand with a poet's precision. Consider the following exchange among three 5-year-olds:

*First Child:* I would like to have a car that is my size with really big wheels. I can drive my daddy to school and then I can go to McDonald's and eat french fries.

*Second Child:* Wow! . . . I would like to have a room that is my size. I would like it if I could climb in my bed without my daddy picking me up . . .

*Third Child:* . . . I would like a sink on the floor.

These children were speaking about the dependence on adults that arises, not from lack of competence, but from their small stature in an adult-sized world. The third child seemed to be requesting, in a poetic way, not an actual sink on the floor but autonomy in performing the daily task of hand washing. This dialogue illustrates that "'listening' to very young children does not necessarily mean taking all their utterances at face value, but it does mean observing the nuances" (Pugh & Selleck, 1996, p. 121).

Boulder Journey School educators provide numerous materials to facilitate children's communication in a variety of languages, including drawing, painting, clay work, wire sculpting, photography, and manipulations of natural materials and blocks. Examples appear throughout this book, but let us include one drawing here.

A classroom of 2-year-olds at Boulder Journey School received a gift from a family member, and the teacher helped the children write a letter of appreciation. They then took a class trip to mail their letter. When the children arrived at the mailbox, they were dismayed to find that it loomed far out of their reach. The children did not want the teacher to lift them up to reach it, resulting in a long-term investigation exploring how children could mail a letter independently. Ultimately, they agreed on the use of a stepstool. During this investigation, one of the children

**FIGURE 1.3. A 2-year-old child's drawing of a mailbox towering high atop a very long post. © Boulder Journey School, 2010.**



drew a picture (Figure 1.3) depicting with poignant precision a mailbox towering high atop a very long post. Like the poetic notion of a sink on the floor, this drawing conveys with artistic precision the sense of smallness that children often experience in the world of adults.

In addition to providing children with opportunities to use a multitude of languages, educators have gained insights into young children's understanding of rights through careful "listening" to children's behavior. A teacher of 1-year-olds, for example, watched her students play in the school theater where hobbyhorses are stored in tall buckets beyond the children's reach. Children of many ages, including the 1-year-olds, enjoy using these hobbyhorses to pretend and play. The teacher noticed that the children persisted in trying to obtain these objects by hovering nearby, pointing, tugging at the parts of the toy they could reach, and vocalizing. She hypothesized that the children believed they had a right to use the horses, and contrasted their persistence in trying to obtain the horses with their lack of persistence in obtaining desired objects to which the children did not feel entitled, such as classmates' bottles.

In listening to children's behavior, adults must attend closely to their play. Developmental psychologists and early childhood educators increasingly understand that play is crucial to the well-being and development of children, and is the main activity through which children seek and find meaning (E. Jones & Reynolds, 1992; Wenner, 2009). The play theorist Brian Sutton-Smith wrote that because "children up until about seven years of age communicate with each other more adequately by play than in speech, an argument can certainly be made that their childhood right to play is the same as our adult First Amendment right to free speech" (quoted in Nabhan & Trimble, 1994, p. 9).

Authors of the Charter on Children's Rights also recognized the importance of play in children's lives. They declared, "Children have a right to pretend everything," and "Children have a right to play all day."

### **Listening Patiently: Can Adults Appreciate the Significance of Time?**

Children's rights researcher Priscilla Alderson (2000a) listed numerous barriers to children's rights, including language barriers and adults' fear of losing control. A perceived lack of time was, however, first on the list. At Boulder Journey School, teachers repeatedly report that a commitment to honoring children's rights means appreciating the importance of slowing down. Here is one example.

A teacher of 1-year-olds decided to analyze archival photos of her classroom as a means of listening to what infants were saying about their rights. The teacher noticed that although the infants had all become mobile, photographs still depicted adults carrying infants from place to place. She wondered if the teachers'

well-in  
childre  
in this  
crawlin  
the cha  
before  
time fo  
the tea  
porting  
the thea  
Lis  
may tai  
new ski  
on sock  
to expr  
not yet  
that chi  
neglect

### **Listening**

Pat  
cies, it  
live wil  
tend to  
more di  
retirem  
and not  
1994). T  
encoun  
aminati  
of bugs  
disguis  
Diff  
alike. T  
tions, a  
interest  
writer t  
fly by" (  
As  
them, a  
helping  
mechan  
size and

**High atop a very**

ant precision a mail-  
ie poetic notion of a  
c precision the sense  
world of adults.

ortunities to use a  
insights into young  
reful "listening" to  
: example, watched  
byhorses are stored  
dren of many ages,  
yhorses to pretend  
persisted in trying  
ing, tugging at the  
. She hypothesized  
se the horses, and  
e horses with their  
which the children

osely to their play.  
creasingly under-  
t of children, and  
aning (E. Jones &  
-Smith wrote that  
ite with each other  
only be made that  
endment right to

ed the importance  
to pretend every-

**ce of Time?**

d numerous bar-  
its' fear of losing  
At Boulder Jour-  
noring children's  
e is one example.  
of her classroom  
ghts. The teacher  
ographs still de-  
d if the teachers'

well-intentioned inclination to carry children illustrated a lack of respect for the children's right to autonomy. For the next scheduled trip to the theater, teachers in this classroom offered children the opportunity to travel on their own, either by crawling or walking along the low ballet bars in the hallway. In order to maximize the chances of children completing their journey, teachers departed 30 minutes before their scheduled visit to the theater. They found that it actually took less time for the children to arrive at the theater under their own power than it had for the teachers to carry them two by two. Which is not to advocate 1-year-olds transporting themselves on the grounds of efficiency. In this case, children arrived at the theater quickly, but letting children exercise autonomy often requires patience.

Listening to and respecting the rights of children means providing time. It may take time for children to exercise autonomy when they are mastering such new skills as crawling or walking down hallways, climbing into car seats, putting on socks and shoes, or washing their hands. Similarly, it may take time for children to express their thoughts and desires, especially when using a language that is not yet well developed. It also takes time for adults to understand the languages that children may prefer, such as creative expression and play. Adults may have neglected and forgotten these languages in the course of growing up.

**Listening in the Here and Now: Can Adults Attend to Context?**

Patience not only opens opportunities for children to exercise their competencies, it also demonstrates a respect for children's agendas. Adults and children live with different orientations to time. Adult society is future-oriented. Adults tend to concern themselves with upcoming appointments, undone tasks, and even more distant events such as their children's enrollment in college and their own retirement. Children, on the other hand, are sensitive to the wonders of the here and now, wonders for which they eagerly forego other agendas (e.g., Langsted, 1994). They exist in the moment and focus on what they find before them. Children encounter numerous marvels on the way to the car or to the store that merit examination—the intriguing shapes and splashes of puddles, the intricate pathways of bugs and worms, the irresistible gleams of shining treasures lying on the street disguised as trash.

Differing orientations to time can create challenges for adults and children alike. The adults' desire to hurry easily eclipses the child's momentary fascinations, and it can be difficult for adults to share authentically the focus of children's interests. The challenge of integrating different time orientations led one adult writer to describe childhood as a time when "the days are endless and the years fly by" (Schiff, 2003).

As an example of children's fascination with what lies immediately before them, consider the experiences of a Boulder Journey School teacher interested in helping 2-year-olds express themselves through the language of photography. The mechanics of taking a photograph challenge young children, who may find the size and heft of the camera awkward and the closing of one eye in order to look

**FIGURE 1.4.** Photography may be challenging for young children. © Boulder Journey School, 2010.



through the viewfinder difficult. This teacher found that as the children manipulated the camera, their fingers often got in the way of the lens. On one occasion a child's finger obscured the lens almost entirely. As the teacher and child reviewed this photograph, the teacher began to advise the child on how to hold the camera more effectively, while the child erupted in elation over the results. "It's my finger!" he exclaimed. His classmates shared his excitement. "Take a picture of my finger next."

Given children's attentiveness to the here and now, educators at Boulder Journey School have found that children's discussions, including discussions of rights, are particularly rich when they center on issues salient for children at that moment. This often can be attributed to their development (e.g., issues of mobility or sharing) or based on current events in the school or in the home (e.g., a new class pet or the birth of a sibling). Similarly, specific questions are best explored when the children are in a context relevant to the questions at hand: talking about the class pet while in front of its cage, for example, or considering the ethics of sharing while arguments over a toy are fresh in the children's minds (see Langsted, 1994).

During lunch, one teacher invited 4-year-old children to consider children's rights around mealtime decisions. Mealtime certainly constitutes an important area of inquiry, as numerous entries in the Boulder Journey School Charter on Children's Rights concern food. The teacher asked, "How would having a say in

ren. © Boulder

**FIGURE 1.5.** Teachers invited 3-year-old children into the infant classroom to play, observe, and reflect on infants' rights. © Boulder Journey School, 2010.



ie children manipu-  
as. On one occasion  
acher and child re-  
l on how to hold the  
ver the results. "It's  
ent. "Take a picture

ors at Boulder Jour-  
discussions of rights,  
children at that mo-  
ssues of mobility or  
ne (e.g., a new class  
best explored when  
1: talking about the  
the ethics of sharing  
see Langsted, 1994).  
consider children's  
futes an important  
School Charter on  
uld having a say in

what food is packed in your lunch make you feel and why?" Most children did not currently have input into the content of their lunches, but wanted input, and gave answers that suggested they would make responsible choices. One girl who said she would choose to pack fruit noted, "Big apples make you bigger because they are healthy."

The teacher also asked, "Would you enjoy deciding when you eat your lunch at school and why?" He had reasoned that the requirement that children eat together at an adult-determined time was not respectful of the children's autonomy. The teacher hypothesized that children would welcome the chance to decide on mealtimes for themselves. The children's responses surprised him. Children thought it more important to share mealtime with friends than to eat when they were hungry. As one boy responded, "I love Nicholas and Natalie. It is important to eat with friends and it's sad without."

Another example of the importance of immediate context emerged in a project seeking insight into preverbal infants' perspectives through the eyes of older children. Teachers brought two 3-year-old children into the infant classroom to play, observe, and reflect on infants' rights. The teachers initiated the conversation by asking the older children what infants could do. When they were interviewed in the infant classroom, the older children generated 25 ideas about what infants could do. They noted, for example, that infants could "shake and clap when they are happy," and "play together and by themselves." The older children had very few ideas about infants' competencies, however, when interviewed in a quiet conference room away from the infants.

**FIGURE 1.6. Children are inherently social at the earliest of ages. © Boulder Journey School, 2010.**



**Listening to Collective (as Well as Individual) Voices:  
Can Adults Honor Children's Social Lives?**

Traditional notions of psychosocial development conceptualize children as essentially presocial (i.e., egocentric and in need of training in empathy and perspective-taking) or as antisocial (i.e., egocentric and in need of adult-imposed social mores) (Oakley, 1994). At Boulder Journey School, however, we find children to be inherently social at the earliest of ages and, beginning in infancy, children spend much of their school day working together in pairs and small groups.

From the initial exchanges among children discussing the antiwar sign to the conversations in the subsequent projects described throughout this book, insights into the perspectives of children were gleaned primarily from one or more teachers interacting with children in small groups. Children continually asserted, counter-asserted, built on one another's ideas, and revised their thinking. In small-group gatherings, children showed their caring for one another and their ability to listen to and support one another's conceptualizations (see also Mayall, 2000a). As the authors of the Boulder Journey School Charter on Children's Rights observed, "Children have a right to make ideas with other people."

Lev Vygotsky (1978) enriched developmental psychology immeasurably by conceptualizing learning as a social transaction. Key to his theory is the notion of the *zone of proximal development* (ZPD), defined as "the distance between the actual development level as determined by independent problem solving and the level of potential development as determined through problem solving under adult guidance or in collaboration with more capable peers" (p. 86). Although educators at Boulder Journey School find the notion of ZPD useful, we have questioned two tenets. First, we wonder why only *independent* problem solving indicates "actual

deve  
hanc  
peer:  
worl  
one:  
7  
peer:  
Journ  
socia  
large  
alona  
a qu  
cont  
eren  
child  
in co

**CHILDRI**

It is a  
ing e  
a cas  
othe  
learn  
far a  
child  
judg  
and  
7  
adul  
dersl  
chap  
expr  
asser  
"Chil  
spea  
to co  
right  
right  
earli  
conv  
'  
also



f ages. ©



developmental level" (why not joint problem solving?). Second, we find that enhanced competence does not require "more capable peers"—only *companionable* peers. In our work with children at Boulder Journey School we find that when working together, peers at similar levels of development build ideas and enhance one another's understanding of the world around them.

The notion that children build ideas and gain insight through discussion with peers, although consistent with the social constructivist perspective of Boulder Journey School, runs counter to traditional views of children as antisocial or pre-social. It also runs counter to the preferred methodologies of traditional research: large-scale assessments of isolated individuals who either fill out a questionnaire alone at a desk or participate in a one-on-one interview with the researcher in a quiet and secluded room. Such methodologies remove children from relevant contexts and prevent them from exhibiting their collective competence. The preference for such isolating assessment techniques may help explain why "tests of children show far fewer capabilities than children exhibit in the course of the day, in conversation" (Alderson, 2000b, p. 82).

## CHILDREN'S INSIGHTS ABOUT CHILDREN'S RIGHTS

It is all too easy to miss the voices of children, and the four suggestions for listening delineated above do not constitute an exhaustive list. One also might make a case for the importance of attentiveness, openness, flexibility, and numerous other qualities. Perhaps the core component of true listening is a willingness to learn from and be changed by what the other says. When adults position ourselves far above the children in our lives, we risk creating chasms too wide for young children to breach. When adults assume attitudes that are in any way dismissive, judgmental, or all-knowing, we may silence all but the most outspoken of children and risk that even those brave voices fall on deaf ears.

The authors believe that young children are far more competent than most adults imagine. We hope to show throughout this book that young children understand, and have much to teach adults, about the notion of rights. In this first chapter we have seen that children recognize the importance of their right to self-expression. Authors of the Boulder Journey School Charter on Children's Rights asserted, "Children have a right to have their words heard by other people," and "Children have a right to be listened to." The authors also recognize that children speak in nonverbal as well as verbal languages, declaring, "Children have a right to color with paint or markers and to choose which one," and "Children have a right to sing, and to sing to other people." Furthermore, children understand that rights, including the right to self-expression, are not contingent on age. As noted earlier, several 2- and 3-year-olds observed that even infants express themselves, conveying their emotions and ideas by shaking and clapping.

Young children not only appreciate the importance of self-expression, they also demonstrate a keen awareness of the delicate balances required by the no-

ceptualize children as  
in empathy and per-  
of adult-imposed so-  
ver, we find children  
in infancy, children  
and small groups.

the antiwar sign to  
throughout this book, in-  
dily from one or more  
continually asserted,  
in thinking. In small-  
er and their ability to  
so Mayall, 2000a). As  
n's Rights observed,

by immeasurably by  
theory is the notion of  
e between the actual  
living and the level of  
ing under adult guid-  
through educators at  
have questioned two  
ng indicates "actual